

High Precision 3D Nanoprinting of Sheet-like Structures and their Controlled Spatial Bending via Electron Beam Curing



Anna Weitzer^{1*}, Michael Huth², David Kuhness³ and Harald Plank^{1,3,4}

¹ Institute of Electron Microscopy and Nanoanalysis, Graz University of Technology, 8010 Graz, Austria ² Physics Institute, Goethe Universität Frankfurt, 60438 Frankfurt am Main ³ Christian Doppler Laboratory - DEFINE, Graz University of Technology, 8010 Graz, Austria ⁴ Graz Centre for Electron Microscopy, Steyrergasse 17, 8010 Graz, Austria

Introduction

3D nanoprinting via Focused Electron Beam Induced Deposition (3D-FEBID) is one of the few additive, direct-write methods capable of producing real 3D objects on the nanoscale. In the past, it was mainly used for building mesh-like structures [1]. This project focuses on the expansion towards closed/sheet-like structures, where additional growth affecting factors, such as edge effects and more advanced temperature distributions apply (Fig. 1). We approach the situation with a combination of experiments and simulations to develop a compensation tool for arising challenges, which forms the basis of next-generation 3D nanoprinting via electrons [2, 3].

We further explore post-growth Electron Beam Curing (EBC) [4] without precursor gas for closed, Pt-based FEBID structures [5]. While EBC was mostly used for full area curing in the past, we here explore the possibilities of selected area EBC on freestanding 3D objects. This process impacts the inner structure and the volume of exposed regions, which enables controlled deformation. We

Improving 3D-FEBID for Sheet-like Structures Experimentally and Via Simulations

Height Correction

Temperature Compensation

Advanced Structures

effect of these slowed down growth rates with increasing height is clearly visible in Fig. 3. To make up for these changing growth conditions, we developed a height correction from experimental calibration data where the dwell times are adjusted depending on the element width and the distance between growth front and substrate to reach layers of $h \approx 1$ nm. This way, we lowered the deviations from the target height to < 3 % (compared to differences of > 90 % between pillars and 1 μ m wide walls without correction).

The main challenge in working with closed structures are the different growth Additional to achieving accurate central heights, we then focused on improving The modular Python compensation code was then further rates depending on the element dimensions. Fig. 2 illustrates the varying the shape stability of the deposited elements and make up for morphological expanded to include inclined elements and trapezoid shapes incremental height growth rates (iHGR). They depend on the width and the deviations occurring due to the fact that the growth conditions also depend on (Fig. 6a). In first experimental tests without additional height of the base element; the less wide and the higher the element, the built layer, which is again mainly caused by beam adjustments, the inclination extension showed promising slower the growth. The main reason for this behaviour is beam heating [6] as heating. Based on finite difference temperature simulations (see example in Fig. results, both, in height as well as in shape stability. This was the electron beam brings energy into the structures. This leads to locally 4a) we developed a model consisting of two exponential functions. The first investigated by means of lateral as well as top curvature increased temperatures entailing a higher precursor desorption and therefore includes the heat dissipation, the second a temperature decay in the edge deviations from the intended shapes of inclined walls on top a lower precursor coverage resulting in strongly reduced growth rates. The regions. This decay derives from side exiting of electrons which then do not of vertical walls (Fig. 6b and Fig. 6c). The inclusion of an contribute to the heating process (compare electron trajectories in Fig. 4b). The offset height, where the underlying structure before depositing temperature compensation effectively adjusts the individual deposition times a new element is taken into account, further allowed the (dwell times) at each patterning point according to this temperature behaviour extension to a "construction kit toolbox" where arbitrary (Fig. 5a). This way, it is possible to correct walls of different dimensions with the elements can be stacked to create advanced architectures same model function, giving the tool a generic component as required for true (Fig. 6d-f). There is, however, still room for improvement, 3D nanoprinting. The shape improvements that were achieved for a vertical wall especially for architectures with varying element widths, via temperature compensation are shown by means of a SEM image in Fig. 5b.

before reaching a universally applicable compensation tool.







Controlled Bending of FEBID Structures Via Electron Beam Curing (EBC)

Electron beam curing, where a deposited structure is radiated again via We investigated a variety of parameters to find ideal conditions for controlled AFM studies for partially cured horizontal walls (Fig. 9) bent along a rectangular EBC strip, comparable to a paper seam.

electrons, this time without precursor gas present, was mainly used for post- bending. The primary electron energy during EBC was one of the parameters provide proof for the suspected volume loss due to EBC, processing entire FEBID structures in the past. Thereby incomplete with the biggest influence on the bending effectiveness. As such, it was which could thereby be identified as the main factor for the dissociation of incorporated molecules can be reactivated, which presumably examined both experimentally as well as via Monte Carlo simulations. The bending process. Fig. 10a shows an example for bending causes structural and volumetric changes. When 3D FEBID elements are only experimental results for the bending angles (Fig. 8a) showed clear maxima for more advanced structures (vertical screw bent along curve) locally irradiated by electrons with a fitting parameter set, targeted bending via forward (green) and backward bending (red). The simulation results and thereby demonstrates how flexible this approach could EBC becomes possible. Fig. 7a shows the schematic, Fig. 7b and Fig. 7c the additional schematics (Fig. 8b) confirm this behaviour and show that the be used in a target-oriented way to locally adapt existing proof of principle in the form of SEM images of spatially bent walls that were bending strongly depends on the assymmetry in terms of electron interaction FEBID structures. Yet, one has to be aware that the current volumes and thereby electron beam cured regions through the wall thickness.

element thickness has to be considered anytime (Fig. 10b).



Conclusion

We strongly improved high-precision deposition of sheet-like 3D-nanostructures via FEBID by developing a Python compensation tool for temperature- and electron trajectory induced deviations [2]. It is built up in a modular way to include even more advanced structures in the future. We placed particular emphasis on building predictable, accurate and reproduceable structures via 3D nanoprinting that can be used in various future applications in research and development. We further applied localized EBC as morphological tuning tool for pre-existing 3D FEBID objects [5]. The study gives an insight into the mechanism, which is proposed as a combination of nano-grain growth and volume loss in agreement with experiments and simulations. While primarily used in terms of controlled morphological adaption (see advanced structure in Fig. 11), the structural changes also suggest the possibility to use it as a localized, functional tuning tool concerning mechanical, electrical or even thermal properties.

Acknowledgements



Special thanks goes to the whole team at FELMI- ZFE for fruitful discussions and collaboration as well as to our financial partners.



References

[1] R. Winkler et al.; *Journal of Applied Physics* 125, 210901 (2019). [2] A. Weitzer et al.; ACS Appl. Electron. Mater. 4 (2) (2022), 744-754. [3] A. Fernandez-Pacheco et al.; *Materials* (2020) 3774. [4] F. Porrati et al.; Journal of Applied Physics 109, 063715 (2011). [5] A. Weitzer et al.; ACS Applied Electronic Materials, 4 (2), 744 (2022). [6] E. Mutunga et al.; ACS Nano 13 (2019) 5198-5213.

Contact

anna.weitzer@felmi-zfe.at harald.plank@felmi-zfe.at www.felmi-zfe.at



